

# Contents

<i>TITLE</i>	<i>PAGE</i>	<i>TITLE</i>	<i>PAGE</i>
Acknowledgment	i	4.0 Community Engagement	37
Abbreviations	ii	<b>4.1 Significance of threats to assets perceived by various managers in the Corangamite region</b>	<b>37</b>
Executive Summary	iii	<b>4.2 Community engagement processes in the development of the Corangamite Soil Health Strategy</b>	<b>38</b>
1 Introduction	1	<b>4.3 Community engagement to identify technology needs, attitudes and capacity of asset managers to address high risks</b>	<b>38</b>
<b>1.1 Purpose of the Corangamite Soil Health Strategy</b>	<b>1</b>	5.0 Investing in soil health	39
<b>1.2 Understanding the regional environment</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>5.1 Investing in priority landscape zones</b>	<b>39</b>
<b>1.3 History of land use and its implications for soil health</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>5.2 Other investment opportunities</b>	<b>44</b>
<b>1.4 Linkages to national, state and regional contexts</b>	<b>9</b>	6.0 Aspirations for soil health, resource condition and management action targets	45
2 Assets and threats to Assets in the Corangamite Region	11	<b>6.1 Aspirational target</b>	<b>45</b>
<b>2.1 Asset identification</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>6.2 Resource condition targets</b>	<b>45</b>
<b>2.2 Relative Asset Values</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>6.3 Management action targets</b>	<b>46</b>
<b>2.3 Identifying threats to assets</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>6.4 Monitoring progress and achievements</b>	<b>48</b>
<b>2.4 Other potential and real threats</b>	<b>23</b>	7.0 Regional research and development and ongoing monitoring activities	49
3.0 Developing investment priorities for the Corangamite Soil Health Strategy	24	8.0 Implementation structure, mechanisms and principles	51
<b>3.1 Landscape zones in the Corangamite region</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>8.1 Understanding the implementation structure</b>	<b>78</b>
<b>3.2 Assessing risk to assets – Relative Risk Values</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>8.2 Predicted costs for implementing the Corangamite Soil Health Strategy</b>	<b>54</b>
<b>3.3 Relative Risk Values across landscape zones and for various threats</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>8.3 Principles of implementation</b>	<b>56</b>
<b>3.4 Assets and threats to assets in each landscape zone</b>	<b>27</b>		
<b>3.5 Validation of Relative Risk Values</b>	<b>31</b>		
<b>3.6 Investment priorities for the Corangamite Soil Health Strategy</b>	<b>35</b>		

<i>TITLE</i>	<i>PAGE</i>	<i>TITLE</i>	<i>PAGE</i>
References	57		
<b>APPENDIX A</b>		<b>APPENDIX C</b>	
<b>Threats to be addressed by the Strategy</b>	<b>59</b>	<b>Land use, assets, threats and relative risk values for landscape zones</b>	<b>77</b>
A.1 Landslides	59	C.1 Woody Yaloak	77
A.2 Water erosion (sheet/rill and gully/tunnel erosion)	60	C.2 Gellibrand	79
A.3 Acid sulphate soils	62	C.3 Bellarine	81
A.4 Secondary salinity	63	C.4 Thompsons	83
A.5 Waterlogging and soil structure decline	64	C.5 Upper Barwon	85
A.6 Wind erosion	66	C.6 Curdies	87
A.7 Soil nutrient decline	67	C.7 Lismore	89
A.8 Soil acidification	67	C.8 Moorabool	91
A.9 Soil contamination	68	C.9 Leigh	93
A.10 Soil organic carbon (matter) decline	69	C.10 Stony Rises	95
A.11 Soil biota decline	70	C.11 Otway Coast	97
		C.12 Hovells	99
<b>APPENDIX B</b>		C.13 Murdeduke	101
<b>Processes, examples and results for prioritising investment</b>	<b>71</b>	C.14 Middle Barwon	103
B.1 Data sources, types and quality	71	C.15 Aire	105
B.2 Relative severity of threats	71		
B.3 Example calculation of relative risk	72		
B.4 Sensitivity analysis	74		
B.5 Relative Risk Values for all threats across all landscape zones	75		

TITLE	PAGE	TITLE	PAGE
<b>APPENDIX D: Processes and results for validating investment priorities</b>	<b>107</b>	List of Figures	
D.1 Validation of risks	107	<i>Figure 1.1</i> Municipalities of the Corangamite region	3
<i>Secondary salinity</i>	107	<i>Figure 1.2</i> Annual average rainfall in the Corangamite region	4
<i>Landslides</i>	107	<i>Figure 1.3</i> The three major geomorphic divisions (Joyce <i>et al.</i> 2004), and the elevation of land throughout the Corangamite region	5
<i>Water erosion</i>	109	<i>Figure 1.4</i> Simplified soil types in the Corangamite region, based on soil parent materials	6
<i>Acid sulphate soils</i>	112	<i>Figure 1.5</i> Land use in the Corangamite region	8
D.2 Impact on high value public assets	112	<i>Figure 2.1</i> Waterways and Water Supply Proclaimed Areas in the Corangamite region	12
<b>APPENDIX E</b>		<i>Figure 2.2</i> Bioregions defined for the Corangamite region	13
<b>Community engagement processes and results</b>	<b>113</b>	<i>Figure 2.3</i> Wetlands and significant biodiversity areas in the Corangamite region	14
E.1 Community engagement logic and methodology	113	<i>Figure 3.1</i> Fifteen landscape zones in the Corangamite region	24
E.2 Community engagement results in priority areas	115	<i>Figure 3.2</i> Aggregate Relative Risk Values for each soil threat in the Corangamite region	26
<b>APPENDIX F</b>		<i>Figure 3.3</i> Aggregate Relative Risk Values from soil threats for 15 landscape zones in the Corangamite region	27
<b>Common management actions used to address soil-based threats</b>	<b>125</b>	<i>Figure 3.4</i> Remediated landslide next to dwelling in the Barham Valley (Otway Coast)	32
<b>APPENDIX G</b>		<i>Figure 3.5</i> Severe sheet, rill and gully erosion contributing large sediment loads with potential nutrient discharge into Moonlight Creek (Woody Yaloak)	33
<b>An example data sheet for monitoring the progress of targets</b>	<b>127</b>	<i>Figure 7.1</i> Illustrates the key research components of the Soil Health Strategy	49
<b>APPENDIX H</b>		<i>Figure 8.1</i> The flow diagram shows the framework for investment for the Soil Health Strategy through the Corangamite Catchment Management Authority investment process. Dashed lines indicate reporting back to investors	52
<b>Assumptions used for the Soil Health Strategy</b>	<b>128</b>	<i>Figure 8.2</i> Predicted costs of implementing the Corangamite Soil Health Strategy, annually, from 2007 to 2012	54
<b>APPENDIX I</b>			
<b>Background reports for the Soil Health Strategy</b>	<b>129</b>		
<b>APPENDIX J</b>			
<b>Stakeholders involved with the development of the Soil Health Strategy</b>	<b>130</b>		

<i>TITLE</i>	<i>PAGE</i>	<i>TITLE</i>	<i>PAGE</i>
<i>Figure A1</i>	59	<i>Figure A18</i>	68
<i>Figure A2</i>	59	<i>Figure A19</i>	69
<i>Figure A3</i>	60	<i>Figure A20</i>	70
<i>Figure A4</i>	60	<i>Figure B1</i>	74
<i>Figure A5</i>	61	<i>Figure C1</i>	77
<i>Figure A6</i>	61	<i>Figure C2</i>	78
<i>Figure A7</i>	62	<i>Figure C3</i>	79
<i>Figure A8</i>	62	<i>Figure C4</i>	80
<i>Figure A9</i>	63	<i>Figure C5</i>	81
<i>Figure A10</i>	63	<i>Figure C6</i>	82
<i>Figure A11</i>	64	<i>Figure C7</i>	83
<i>Figure A12</i>	65	<i>Figure C8</i>	84
<i>Figure A13</i>	65	<i>Figure C9</i>	85
<i>Figure A14</i>	66	<i>Figure C10</i>	86
<i>Figure A15</i>	66	<i>Figure C11</i>	87
<i>Figure A16</i>	67	<i>Figure C12</i>	88
<i>Figure A17</i>	67		

<i>TITLE</i>	<i>PAGE</i>	<i>TITLE</i>	<i>PAGE</i>
<i>Figure C13</i> Land use in the Lismore Landscape Zone in 2000-2002	89	<i>Figure C28</i> The rank and Relative Risk Values for soil-threatening processes in the Middle Barwon Landscape Zone	104
<i>Figure C14</i> The rank and Relative Risk Values for soil-threatening processes in the Lismore Landscape Zone	90	<i>Figure C29</i> Land use in the Aire Landscape Zone in 2000-2002	105
<i>Figure C15</i> Land use in the Moorabool Landscape Zone in 2000-2002	91	<i>Figure C30</i> The rank and Relative Risk Values for soil-threatening processes in the Aire Landscape Zone	106
<i>Figure C16</i> The rank and Relative Risk Values for soil-threatening processes in the Moorabool Landscape Zone	92	<i>Figure D1</i> The overlap between the Corangamite Salinity Action Plan target areas (hatched) and the four landscape zones where secondary salinity is a priority in the Corangamite Soil Health Strategy	107
<i>Figure C17</i> Land use in the Leigh Landscape Zone in 2000-2002	93	<i>Figure D2</i> New failure below recent remedial works on Turtons Track (Gellibrand Landscape Zone)	110
<i>Figure C18</i> The rank and Relative Risk Values for soil-threatening processes in the Leigh Landscape Zone	94	<i>Figure D3</i> Forestry and logging with minor landslides and erosion on waterway just off the Great Ocean Road (Aire Landscape Zone)	110
<i>Figure C19</i> Land use in the Stony Rises Landscape Zone in 2000-2002	95	<i>Figure D4</i> Landslides adjacent to Scrubby Creek (Upper Barwon Landscape Zone)	110
<i>Figure C20</i> The rank and Relative Risk Values for soil-threatening processes in the Stony Rises Landscape Zone	96	<i>Figure D5</i> The pale areas indicate areas of sheet, rill and gully erosion in the Moonlight Creek area (Woody Yaloak Landscape Zone)	112
<i>Figure C21</i> Land use in the Otway Coast Landscape Zone in 2000-2002	97	<i>Figure D6</i> A gully erosion site along Eclipse Creek (Moorabool Landscape Zone)	112
<i>Figure C22</i> The rank and Relative Risk Values for soil-threatening processes in the Otway Coast Landscape Zone	98	<i>Figure D7</i> A gully erosion site connecting with a tributary close to the entry of the Leigh River (Leigh Landscape Zone)	112
<i>Figure C23</i> Land use in the Hovells Landscape Zone in 2000-2002	99		
<i>Figure C24</i> The rank and Relative Risk Values for soil-threatening processes in the Hovells Landscape Zone	100		
<i>Figure C25</i> Land use in the Murdeduke Landscape Zone in 2000-2002	101		
<i>Figure C26</i> The rank and Relative Risk Values for soil-threatening processes in the Murdeduke Landscape Zone	102		
<i>Figure C27</i> Land use in the Middle Barwon Landscape Zone in 2000-2002	103		

TITLE	PAGE	TITLE	PAGE
List of Tables			
<i>Table 1.1</i> Soil Health Strategy linkages with other natural resource management initiatives in the Corangamite region	10	<i>Table 8.2</i> Other investors who support soil health activities across south-west Victoria	53
<i>Table 2.1</i> Relative Asset Values (RAV) assigned to secondary and cultural and heritage asset classes	16	<i>Table 8.3</i> Investment provided by the Australian and Victorian governments through the Corangamite CMA for all soil health-based activities 2003 to 2007	54
<i>Table 2.2</i> Identification of potential risk of soil-related threatening processes to asset classes	17	<i>Table 8.4</i> Estimated strategy implementation costs characterised by resource condition targets and management action targets	55
<i>Table 2.3</i> Definition of soil-threatening processes addressed by the SHS, with illustrative photographs and distribution in the Corangamite region	18	<i>Table B1</i> Relative Severity Factor (RSF) assigned to threatening processes	72
<i>Table 2.4</i> Threats to assets, triggering factors and consequences	21	<i>Table B2</i> Calculation of relative risk from soil waterlogging in the Upper Barwon Landscape Zone	73
<i>Table 2.5</i> Features of climate change, implications and potential actions to address threats	23	<i>Table B3</i> Calculation of relative risk from gully erosion in the Upper Barwon Landscape Zone	73
<i>Table 3.1</i> Twenty highest Relative Risk Values for soil threats in the Corangamite region	26	<i>Table B4</i> Ranking of soil-based threats for each landscape zone according to calculated Relative Risk Values	75
<i>Table 3.2</i> Individual assets under threat within landscape zones, showing their locations and the top five Relative Risk Values (described by threat) in each landscape zone	28	<i>Table D1</i> Field verification scores for landslide risk in priority areas. Risk to assets is indicated as very high-5, high-4, medium-3, low/medium-2, low-1.	109
<i>Table 3.3</i> Field verification scores for landslide risk in the highest Relative Risk Value landscape zones. Risk to assets is indicated as: very high-5; high-4; medium-3; low/medium-2; low-1	32	<i>Table D2</i> Field verification scores for water erosion risk in priority areas. Risk to assets is indicated as very high-5, high-4, medium-3, low/medium-2, low-1	111
<i>Table 3.4</i> Field verification scores for water erosion in the five highest Relative Risk Value landscape zones. Risk to assets is indicated as: very high-5; high-4; medium-3; low/medium-2; low-1	33	<i>Table E1</i> Ability of asset managers in the Corangamite region to identify and address priorities in the Soil Health Strategy	115
<i>Table 3.5</i> Summary of the costs and benefits considered in the economic analysis	35	<i>Table F1</i> Risks to assets caused by threats, and management practices implemented to address these threats	125
<i>Table 3.6</i> Final ranked 20 investment priorities, based on risk to assets, benefit-cost analysis and high-value public assets at risk	36	<i>Table F2</i> Current adoption of soil health-based best management practices from asset managers in the Corangamite region	126
<i>Table 4.1</i> Asset managers, assets and threats	37	<i>Table G1</i> Examples of targets in the Soil Health Strategy and methods of how they may be monitored to determine whether they are being met	127
<i>Table 5.1</i> Actions to address the 20 highest-validated priorities for investment by landscape zone	39	<i>Table J1</i> Steering committee for development of Draft 1 and Final Corangamite Soil Health Strategy	130
<i>Table 6.1</i> Resource condition targets for the Corangamite Soil Health Strategy	46	<i>Table J2</i> Technical organisations contributing to the development of the Corangamite Soil Health Strategy	130
<i>Table 6.2</i> Management action targets for the Corangamite Soil Health Strategy	47	<i>Table J3</i> Other technical contributors to the development of the Corangamite Soil Health Strategy	130
<i>Table 6.3</i> Monitoring activities to measure progress towards and achievement of resource condition targets (Table 6.1) and management action targets (Table 6.2)	48		
<i>Table 7.1</i> Research and development actions for the Corangamite Soil Health Strategy	50		
<i>Table 8.1</i> Current investment opportunities for the Soil Health Strategy through the Corangamite CMA (2006/08)	53		